	Case 1:23-cv-00187-JLT-SAB Documer	t 13 Filed 09/20/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	SILVIO MCKENZIE-GAINZA,	No. 1:23-cv-0187 JLT SAB (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, GRANTING
13	v.	RESPONDENT'S MOTION TO DISMISS, DISMISSING PETITION FOR WRIT OF
14	FCI MENDOTA WARDEN,	HABEAS CORPUS, AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE CASE
15	Respondent.	(Docs. 10, 12)
16		
17	Silvio McKenzie-Gainza is a federal prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of	
18	habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner asserts the Bureau of Prisons failed to	
19	apply Petitioner's earned time credits properly under the First Step Act. (Doc. 1 at 6.)	
20	Respondent moved to dismiss, asserting there is no case or controversy because Petitioner credits	
21	have been applied, which resulted in an advanced release date from BOP custody. (Doc. 10 at 3.)	
22	The assigned magistrate judge found, "the record establishes Petitioner is eligible to apply	
23	[time credits], which has resulted in an advanced projected release date of February 1, 2027,	
24	when 365 days of FSA credits are applied." (Doc. 12 at 2.) As a result, the magistrate judge	
25	determined that "Petitioner has received the remedy he requested in his petition," and agreed that	
26	"no case or controversy exists." (Id.) Accordingly, the magistrate judge recommended the	
27	motion to dismiss be granted and the petition be dismissed as moot. (Id.)	
28	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Petitioner and notified him that	
		1

	Case 1:23-cv-00187-JLT-SAB Document 13 Filed 09/20/23 Page 2 of 2	
1	any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 12 at 2.) The Court also informed the parties that	
2	"failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District	
3	Court's order." (Id. at 3, citing Wilkerson v. Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014), Baxter	
4	v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir. 1991).) Petitioner did not file objections, and the time	
5	to do has expired.	
6	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the case	
7	Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court concludes the Findings and	
8	Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court ORDERS :	
9	1. The findings and recommendations issued on July 25, 2023 (Doc. 12) are	
10	ADOPTED in full.	
11	2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 10) is GRANTED .	
12	3. The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DISMISSED .	
13	4. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.	
14		
15	Dated: September 20, 2023	
16	Dated: September 20, 2023 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		